MINDO/3: A Review of the Literature

DAVID F. V. **LEWIS**

Department of Biochemistry, University of Surrey, Guildford, Surrey, GU2 5XH, U.K.

Received March 21, 1986 (Revised Manuscript Received June *17. 1986)*

Contents

I. Introduction

The MIND0/3 technique, representing the third version of the Modified Intermediate Neglect of Differential Overlap method, is a semiempirical self-consistent-field (SCF) molecular-orbital (MO) approach to calculating electronic structures of molecules. 1,2 It has definite advantages over other MO calculations, including ab initio methods. $3,4$ The strength of MINDO/3 lies in its ability to provide accurate values of molecular properties from relatively fast calculations on medium to large molecules (of up to 80 atoms) at about a fifth of the cost of ab initio methods. In fact, it has been stated⁴ that MINDO/3 is more accurate than ab initio methods based on the Roothan-Hall approach^{5,6} in obtaining agreement with experimental data and, additionally, MIND0/3 can execute MO calculations for larger molecules in a fraction of the time.

MIND0/3 is **also** a versatile method in that routines are included in the program^{7,8} for MO calculations on ions and radicals of varying multiplicity, with or without the employment of configuration interaction **(CI)** techniques. There is also a facility for performing reaction-path calculations by using the reaction-coordinate routine. These useful inclusions coupled with the fact that input data is in the form of bond lengths and angles makes this program very attractive to the organic and medicinal chemist.

II. The MINDO/3 Method

MIND0/3 is an all-valence electron method and, as such, it is similar to Pople's NDO procedure⁹ in that **an** approximation for the core Hamiltonian is retained and the valence electrons are assigned a minimum basis set of atomic orbital (AO) wave functions, ϕ_i . There is some equivalence to the INDO method as certain electronic repulsion integrals are neglected, but the modifications come in the way in which some of the for electron-nuclear attractions, the attraction between remaining integrals are parametrized. Chart I sum- \qquad an electron in AO *i* of atom *m* and core of atom *n* is marizes the energy terms and their derivation as regiven by ferred to in the Appendix. ferred to in the Appendix.
 Evaluation of the expectation value for the energy of $V_{in} = -C_n \gamma_{mn}$ (1)

the molecule involves derivation of the expression where C_n is the core charge of atom *n* in units of the $\langle \phi | H | \phi \rangle$ where ϕ is the determinantal wave function. electronic charge.

David Lewis is a Research Fellow in Biochemistry at the University of Surrey. He received his B.Sc. degree in chemistry from Bath University and an M.Sc. in spectroscopy from Surrey University, where he gained a Ph.D. in chemistry in 1982. Since then, he has done postdoctoral research with Dennis V. Parke on the application of molecular graphics to cytochrome P-450 substrate specificity.
His research interests are in the use of molecular orbital calculations in generating QSARs from biological and chemical data.

The total Hamiltonian can be separated into one-electron and two-electron parts:

$$
H = H_1 + H_2
$$

where

$$
H_1 = \sum_p H_{\text{core(p)}} \qquad H_{\text{core(p)}} = -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_p^2 - \sum_A Z_A r_{pA}^{-1}
$$

and

$$
H_2 = \sum_{p \leq q} \sum r_{pq}^{-1}
$$

In the MIND0/3 scheme certain adjustments and simplifying approximations are made in the treatment of the various one- and two-center integrals. These are as follows: (a) So that the calculations are invariant to rotation, all repulsion integrals (ii,jj) between AO *i* on atom *m* and A0 *j* on atom *n* are made equal to a common value, γ_{mn} . (b) The 1-center repulsion integrals, g_{ik} , are left unchanged. (c) By use of the Goeppert-Mayer-Sklar potential function¹⁰ which neglects penetration integrals

$$
\int \phi_\rho(i) \frac{Z_s}{r_{si}} \phi_\rho(i) \, \mathrm{d} \tau_i
$$

$$
V_{in} = -C_n \gamma_{mn} \tag{1}
$$

CHART I

The expression for the elements of the Fock matrix¹¹ in MINDO/3 is as follows:

$$
F_{ii} = U_{ii} + 0.5q_i g_{ii} + \sum_{\substack{(m) \\ j \neq i}}^{(m)} q_j (g_{ij} - 0.5h_{ij}) - \sum_{n \neq m}^{(n)} \gamma_{mn} (c_n - \sum_{j}^{(n)} q_j)
$$
 (2)

where F_{ii} are the diagonal elements of the Fock matrix, U_{ii} is the sum of the KE of an electron in AO i of atom *m* and its PE due to attraction to the core of atom *m,* q_i is the electron population (density) of AO i, and g_{ii} , h_{ij} are the one-center coulomb and exchange integrals for AOs i and *j,* respectively.

$$
F_{ij(mm)} = 0.5 p_{ij} (3h_{ij} - g_{ij})
$$
 (3)

 $F_{ij (mn)}$ are the off-diagonal elements between AOs ij on atom m , and p_{ij} is the bond order between AOs i and *j.*

$$
F_{ij(nm)} = \beta_{ij}^c - 0.5p_{ij} \tag{4}
$$

where $F_{ij \ (mn)}$ are the off-diagonal elements between AOs *ij* of different atoms *m* and *n*, and β_{ij}^c is the two-center one-electron exchange integral (core-resonance integral).

The total energy of the molecule can be expressed as the sum of electronic and intercore repulsion energies as follows:

$$
E_{\text{total}} = E_{\text{el}} + E_{\text{c}} + E_{\text{el}} + \sum_{m>n} \sum \text{CR}_{mn}
$$

where CR_{mn} is the PE of repulsion between the cores of atoms *m* and *n.*

The energy of atomization (negative heat of formation) of any molecule is the difference in energy between that of the molecule and that of its constituent atoms. Atomic energies are calculated from single-configuration wave functions by using the same assumptions and integral values as in the MO calculations. Thus atomization energies can be equated with experimental heats of formation (Hf) values, where KE terms in Hf are taken into account in the parametrization. The parametrization in MIND0/3 takes into account and deals with the major sources of errors inherent in semiempirical NDO procedures: (1) neglect of coulombic electron correlation, *(2)* errors brought about by virtue of the simplifying assumptions made in the derivation, and **(3)** errors due to equating calculated atomization energies with measured heats of atomization.

Derivation

$$
U_{\rho\rho} = \int \phi_{\rho}(i) \left(-\frac{1}{2}\nabla_i^2 - \frac{z_s}{r_{si}} \right) \phi_{\rho}(i) d\tau_i
$$

\n
$$
J_{\rho\rho} = \int \int \phi_{\rho} * (i) \phi_{\rho}(i) \frac{1}{r_{ij}} \phi_{\rho}(j) d\tau_i
$$

\n
$$
d\tau_j = \langle \rho \rho | \rho \rho \rangle
$$

\n
$$
K_{\rho\rho} = J_{\rho\rho}
$$

\n
$$
V_{\rho\sigma} = (-) \int \phi_{\rho} * (i) \sum_{S} \frac{z_s}{r_{si}} \phi_{\sigma}(i) d\tau_i
$$

\n
$$
R_{\rho\sigma} = \int \phi_{\rho} * (i) \left(-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 - \sum_{S} \frac{z_s}{r_{si}} \right) \phi_{\sigma}(i) d\tau_i
$$

\n
$$
J_{\rho\sigma} = \int \int \phi_{\rho} * (i) \phi_{\rho}(i) \frac{1}{r_{ij}} \phi_{\sigma} * (j) \phi_{\sigma}(j)
$$

\n
$$
d\tau_i d\tau_j = \langle \rho \rho | \sigma \sigma \rangle
$$

\n
$$
K_{\rho\sigma} = \int \int \phi_{\rho} * (i) \phi_{\sigma}(i) \frac{1}{r_{ij}} \phi_{\rho} * (j) \rho_{\sigma}(j)
$$

\n
$$
d\tau_i d\tau_j = \langle \rho \sigma | \rho \sigma \rangle
$$

\n
$$
N = \sum_{S} \frac{z_s z_t}{R_{st}}
$$

In the original version of MIND0 (1 and 2) the one-center integrals *gij* and *hij* were found from Slater-Condon parameters by Pople's method, as employed in INDO. MIND0/3, however, utilizes Oleari's method¹² whereby all the g_{ij} and h_{ij} integrals can be evaluated independently.

I I I. Optimization of Molecular Geometries

The geometry of a molecule is found by optimizing its total energy with respect to the corresponding geometric variables. In MIND0/3 input parameters are in the form of chemical bond lengths, bond angles, and dihedral or torsional angles. Thus the procedure is very chemist-oriented or chemist user friendly. Any number of these parameters may be optimized independently or grouped if desired, using the symmetry options. These allow one optimizable parameter to be linked to one or more other geometric parameters. This greatly facilitates convergence of the energy minimization procedure for optimization, decreasing the number of SCF calculations necessary, and hence diminishing computation time. There is also a facility for parameter dependence which invokes symmetry conditions. Thus, one optimizable parameter can be linked with another by a transformation operation, such **as** rotation through a specified angle. For example, a nitro $(NO₂)$ group can be rotated relative to, say, a benzene ring by optimizing the dihedral angle of one of the $NO₂$ oxygen atoms relative to a benzene ring carbon atom, while stipulating that the other oxygen atom of the nitro group remains in the same plane of rotation.

Obviously, the larger the molecule, the more time it takes to optimize a large number of parameters, though it is possible to optimize all the geometric parameters for small molecules (of about 10 atoms) with a relatively fast rate of convergence. For medium-sized molecules (of about 40 atoms) it is advisable to optimize about five parameters simultaneously, otherwise a lengthy oscillating iterative procedure ensues which can be misleading (or erroneous) in the final result by producing a local minimum, instead of the global minimum of total energy.

Generally, it is best to start with reliable crystal data, microwave data, or (at the worst) tabulated values of standard bond length, bond angles, and dihedral angles as input geometric parameters. Any parameters that

TABLE I. Ionization Energies for DNA Bases, eV^a

	expt	MINDO/3	CNDO	SCF
adenine	8.00	7.60	10.08	7.92
cytosine	8.90	8.70	10.78	8.16
thymine	9.43	9.31		8.80
guanine	7.80	7.66	9.06	7.59

are either not available, or not known to any great certainty, can be optimized by the geometry minimization techniques.

I V. Crltlcal Assessment of MIND013

MIND0/3 has been criticized for having certain shortcomings brought about the parametrization methods employed. It is true that for chemical bonds containing a substantial degree of d orbital character, such as those involving sulfur or phosphorus, discrepancies occur in the calculation. Such errors are inevitable and, in fact, no parametrization exists in MIN- $DO/3$ for $S=O$ and $P=O$ bonds, though amendments can be made to the program to include them.

MINDO/3 has a propensity for overestimating torsional (dihedral) angles between singly bonded sp² atom centers. This is because the Davidon-Fletcher-Powell (DFP) minimization procedure^{13,14,15} does not have an adequate weighting to allow for the stability of coplanar π -systems.

However, other semiempirical methods suffer from the same shortcomings and, if accurate molecular geometries are known from X-ray crystallographic data, little or no optimization is necessary. It has been found that changes in torsional angles have the least effect on electron densities, whereas these and other calculated electronic structural parameters are more susceptible to changes in bond lengths and angles. MIND0/3 correctly predicts the position of a hydrogen atom in a carboxylic acid (-C02H) group **as** lying coplanar with the carbonyl moiety. The rotation of a methyl $(-CH_3)$ group adjacent to an aromatic ring system is correctly optimized at **60'** to the plane of the ring, and the preferred conformations of biomolecules such **as** histamine and serotonin are in agreement with accepted conformational studies by other techniques. Also the C-0-C bond angles in ethers and esters, as estimated by MIND0/3, are close to the tabulated values. A slight overestimation of C-H, O-H, and N-H bond lengths has been found using this method, though not greater than by other methods, but this problem can be overcome if literature values are employed, and little optimization is necessary.

It has been said that MIND0/3 gives inaccurate orbital energies, which may be true, but it is difficult to verify or disprove because of the lack of experimental data. The energies of the highest occupied MOs (HO-MOS) are equivalent to first ionization energies of molecules, and these compare favorably with experimental values. Results for DNA bases (Table I) show that MIND0/3 estimates ionization energies better than other MO methods. Dewar has compared MIN-D0/3 calculated molecular properties with those calculated by other methods demonstrating that MIN- $DO/3$ is better than even ab initio calculations.¹⁶ Jorgensen and Salem used MINDO/2 calculated orbital energies together with ab initio values to list orbital

TABLE 11. Dipole Moments for Monosubstituted Benzenes, Debyes"

compd	calcd	expt	
PhF	1.62	1.60	
PhCl	1.69	1.69	
PhNH ₂	1.00	1.13	
PhNO ₂	4.88	4.28	
PhOH	1.41	1.45	
PhOMe	1.31	1.30	
PhCOMe	3.26	3.02	
^a Data from ref 195.			

energies for a large number of small molecules. 17 McManus et al.^{18,19} have criticized MINDO/3 in displaying systematic errors in the calculation of heats of formation of alkanes and cycloalkanes. However, Dewar has answered many of the criticisms to MIND0/3 and MNDO by showing their advantages to other MO methods.

MIND0/3 can exaggerate dipole moments if considerable geometry optimization is employed, but if crystal data or microwave data are input for geometric parameters, very good agreement with experimental values can be achieved, as shown in Table 11. Clearly MIN-D0/3 is as good a method for electronic structure calculation as any other available. It would appear to fill the gap between CNDO, IEHT, and ab initio methods, and it has several advantages over all of these. MIND0/3 can produce accurate results on a vast range of molecules at comparably low cost in terms of computer time. The following survey shows that MIND0/3 is still holding its own against supposedly better MO methods 10 years after its inception.

V. MINDO/3 Calculations on Molecules of Biologlcal and Chemical Interest

The early literature concerning the utilization of MINDO methods has been reviewed by Murrell and Harget,²⁰ Klopman and Evans,²¹ and Fernandez-Alonso, 22 who stress the usefulness of MINDO in application to a wide range of studies in theoretical chemistry and biochemistry, e.g., excited states, photochemical reactions, insertion reactions, catalysis, and enzymic reactions. Tables III-VI1 summarize the use of MIND0/3 in different chemical, physicochemical, and biological situations.

Dewar and Ramsden first published results based on MIND0/3 calculations in 197323 together with NDDO studies on three-membered ring systems. This new version of MINDO/3 was an improvement on its predecessors MINDO²⁴ and MINDO/2²⁵ written by the Dewar group of co-workers. Over the next three years, Dewar and his group demonstrated the applicability of MIND0/3 to a whole range of compounds, intermediates, and reactions of essentially chemical interest by the publication of a plethora of papers.^{1-3,26-52} In 1974, these workers reported MIND0/3 studies on bisdehydrobenzenes, 26 rearrangements of cyclobutadiene dimers,²⁷ chemiluminescence,²⁸ dioxetane,²⁹ Diels-Alder reactions, 30 conversion of cyclobutene to 1,3-butadiene, 32 [18]annulene, 34 and nonbenzenoid aromatic systems.² Their contributions to theoretical chemistry involved applications of MIND0/3 in the additivity of bond energies³¹ and the calculation of molecular electric polarizabilities. 33 The following year showed activity by

1114 Chemical Reviews, 1986, Vol. 86, No. 6 Lewis

TABLE I11

electronic str

electronic str electronic str

electronic str electronic str

electronic structure electronic structure

the same group with further studies on hydrocarbons, 35 carbon compounds with other elements, $36,37$ third row elements,³⁸ cations,⁴⁰ cyclobutadiene,⁴² reactive carbene and nitrene intermediates and $oxygen₁⁴⁷ oxazoles$ and imidazoles.⁵⁰ Reactions and rearrangements of bicyclobutane,⁴¹ methyl bicyclo[2.1.0]pent-2-enes,⁴⁴ Dewar benzene, 46 vinyl cyclopropane, 49 and the reactions between singlet oxygen and carbon-carbon double bonds⁴⁵ were reported in the same year by Dewar and his colleagues at Austin University. In the sphere of physical chemistry they also published MIND0/3 calculations of ionization potentials of radicals,³⁹ ESCA chemical shifts,43 nitrogen-14 nuclear quadrupole coupling conhyperpolarizabilities of fluoromethanes,⁵¹ and optical coefficients of lithium formate.52 The latter two papers appearing in 1976 after Dewar's reply⁵³ to criticisms of MINDO/3 which had been made by Pople⁵⁴ and Hehre⁵⁵ in the previous year. Dewar has summarized the usefulness of MIND0/3 as a theoretical experimental procedure of great value in a very readable article in ref 16 in 1975, and the attractiveness of MIND0/3 prompted its use by other groups of workers.

amides, ureas, and hetercycles alkenes and chloroalkanes tetraatomic clusters eight-membered conjugated catalytic surface structures cycloalkenylcarbenes cyclooctatetraenes iron hydrides, oxides, and

carbocycles

 $Fe(CO)_{5}$ N, *0,* S

saturated alicyclics containing C1,

Graczyk et al. used MIND0/3 in the evaluation of C-Cl stretching vibrational force constants of tert-butyl chloride in the same year, 56 and in 1976 MINDO/3 was employed in calculations of rotational barriers by

Combs and Rossie,⁵⁷ reaction pathways for the reaction between atomic carbon and ethylene oxide (Figuera et al.),⁵⁸ electronic structure of radicals by Bischof,⁵⁹ the vinylcyclopropane rearrangement by Andrews and Baldwin,⁶⁰ orbital interactions in aromaticity (Jorgensen), 61 and on anomalous energy minima (Combs and Rossie).62

heteroatoms

pyrimidine bases phosphorus ligands tertiary immonium ions triaminoguadinium ion trivalent nitrogen compounds solid and surface structures compounds containing diamond and graphite

conformational analysis conjugated systems

 N_2O_6

geometry optimization

molecular conformation molecular conformation molecular conformation molecular inversion cyclic models inductive effect binding energy

cone angles

Encouraged by their earlier successes and eager to spread confidence in MIND0/3, the Dewar group published further work in 1977. They studied a number of molecular arrangements of chemical interest such. **as** the rearrangement of benzyl, toluene, and cycloheptatriene ions, 63,64 the Cope 65,66 and Conforth rearrangements, 67 the rearrangements of substituted benzyl cations,68 and the rearrangements of phenylcarbene to cycloheptatriene.⁶⁹ Other reactions subjected to MIN-D0/3 investigations were the addition of singlet oxygen to 1,3-butadiene,⁷⁰ the thermal decarboxylation of but-3-enoic acid,⁷¹ and hydrogen elimination from organic cations.72 Related to some of these studies was further work on non-classical ions,⁷³ monosubstituted tropylium ions, and benzyl cations.⁷⁴ They also investigated molecular properties relating to spectroscopic and other physico-chemical data, such as vibrational frequencies,⁷⁵ NMR coupling constants,⁷⁶ force constants,⁷⁷ heat capacities and entropies,⁷⁸ and applied

TABLE IV

TABLE V

MINDO/3 calculated vibration frequencies to cyclobutadiene.⁷⁹ Dewar and Thiel^{80,81} also introduced a new NDO procedure (similar to MIND0/3) called MNDO which supposedly gave better results in MO calculations of electronic structure.

In 1977 other groups of workers used MIND0/3 in MO calculations for molecules of biological and chemical interest. Caramella et al. used MINDO/2 and MIND0/3 in geometry optimization of molecules in order to derive the shapes and energies of molecular orbitals,82 and Parr and Wasylishen studied the planarity of the amino group in para-substituted anilines.⁸³ Jennings and Worley outlined a concerted rotationpyramidalization pathway in a study of $C=N$ bond rotation in methyleneamine N -oxide, 84 derivatives of dipole moments were reported by Pandey et al.,⁸⁵ and McManus and Worley evaluated the importance of carbocation solvation in halonium ion-carbocation equilibria.⁸⁶ Dits et al. looked at $C_7H_7O^+$ ions using $\mathrm{MINDO}/3,^{87}$ Kyba used MNDO and MINDO/3 to study hydrogen shifts to an alkylcarbene center, 88 whereas Boyd calculated the electronic structure of β -lactams.⁸⁹ Schulman applied MINDO/3 in the calculation of C-N spin-spin coupling constants, 90 Al-Khowaiter and Wellington performed MIND0/3 calculations on some carbonium ions,⁹¹ and Fleischhauer demonstrated the application **to** MIND0/3 in the study of ground-state reactions.⁹² The number and variety of uses that MIND0/3 had been put to demonstrated that it was fast becoming a successful theoretical technique in chemistry.

During the next year, the Dewar group made a smaller contribution to the literature on MIND0/3, possibly due to their involvement with $MNDO⁹³$ However, they pursued their interest in reaction mechanisms by MIND0/3 studies on the Norrish Type II reaction of butanal. 94 the retro-Diels-Alder reaction of cyclohexene, 95 and some radical addition reactions. 96 Dewar also collaborated with Klopman et al. in a study of hydrogen bonding using MIND0/3.97 Although the latter showed the apparent inability of the method to find energy minima in two hydrogen-bonded system, the study was by no means exhaustive and, therefore, inconclusive.

Zielinski et al. also studied hydrogen-bonded systems using MIND0/3.98 Their results also cast doubts on the use of this method in predicting energy minima, though they may have encountered local minima instead of global minima in their calculations. In spite of these and other criticisms^{18,99} MINDO/3 had wide application in 1978. Studies on unstable species and reaction intermediates were particularly in evidence.

Furusaki and Matsumo¹⁰⁰ performed MINDO/3 calculations on norbornyl cations, Lechtken looked at the thermolysis of 1,2-dioxetanes, 101 Hull calculated the stability of Craigee carbonyl oxides,¹⁰² Beatty et al. investigated cyclic chloronium ions,¹⁰³ Bodor and Pearlman studied the dihydropyridines,¹⁰⁴ and Krause et al. used MIND0/3 for evaluating potential energy surfaces of an ion fragmentation reaction.¹⁰⁵ In the same year, Lee and Rothstein elucidated the electronic structures of chlorocarbene intermediates,¹⁰⁶ Lischka and Koehler investigated the structure and stability of carbocations in comparison with ab initio methods, 107 Bonicamp executed MINDO/3 calculations on nitrenes,¹⁰⁸ Olah et al. studied onium ions using MIN-DO/3,¹⁰⁹ Kahlil employed MINDO/3 in reaction-path

pyridine and its derivatives Dewar benzene dioxetane bicyclobutane cyclobutene

Dewar benzene

benzyl cation

TABLE VI

kinetic effects

pericyclic reactions thermolysis thermolysis thermal conversion Diels-Alder reaction thermal isomerization reaction with C=C bonds electrocyclic conversion rearrangement rearrangement

"In this paper the periodic group notation in parentheses is in accord with recent actions by IUPAC and ACS nomenclature committees. A and B notation is eliminated because of wide confusion. Groups IA and IIA become groups 1 and 2. The d-transition elements comprise groups 3 through notation is emiliated because of white confusion. Groups 1x and 11x become groups 1 and 2. The d-claistich elements comprise groups 3 through 12, and the p-block elements comprise groups 13 through 18. (Note that the form

calculations on methane anions¹¹⁰ and Martin utilized MIND0/3 in calculating the excited states of methyl carbene.¹¹¹ Also, Wellington and Al-Khowaiter reported work on the charge distributions in a number of molecules and ions.¹¹² Other reactions studied using MIN-D0/3 in the same year include ring closure in olefinic cyclization,¹¹³ interaction of molecular oxygen with acetylene, 114 the Cope rearrangement, 115 the acid-catalyzed ring opening of oxaziridine,¹¹⁶ and the reaction between methane and the fluoride ion.117 Bischof et **al.** published papers on bicyclic and tricyclic compounds,^{118,119} Zuccarello and co-workers calculated the molecular structures of chlorobutatriene and chlorobutenynes,¹²⁰ whereas Fleischhauer et al. studied the dissociation of hydrogen using MINDO/3.¹²¹ After parametrization of the P-C bond, Goetz et al. obtained structural data for organophosphorus compounds. 122,123 MIND0/3 was also used in the calculation of nuclear spin-spin coupling constants.¹²⁴ Finally, biological applications of $MINDO/2$ and $MINDO/3$ were made by various authors in 1978. Zielinski et al. employed $MINDO/2$ in geometry calculations of DNA bases, 125 Worley and co-workers investigated the electronic structures of biological piperazines,¹²⁶ and Shinagawa reported MIND0/3 studies on meta and para methylation of substituted catechols by catechol 0-methyl $\emph{transferase}.^{127}$

From 1979 onward, the output of MIND0/3 publications by the Dewar group has been considerably diminished with respect to their earlier activity. However, while allowing other groups of workers to explore the uses of MIND0/3, Dewar and his colleagues continued to employ the method in exploring molecular properties. In 1979 Dewar and Olivella reported a MINDO/3 study of rearrangements of butyl radicals,¹²⁸ and compared MIND0/3 with other MO methods for calculating the energies and geometries of open shell systems.¹²⁹ Others have used MINDO $/3$ in the computation of physical

TABLE VI1

biological activity	molecules considered	ref	
antibacterial	N-substituted monocyclic β -lactams	89	
antimicrobial	piperazines	126	
carcinogenicity	aromatic hydrocarbon epoxides	149	
N-hydroxylation by P-450	amines	151	
P-450 oxidation pathways	methane and ethylene	173	
NADH-type reductions	cyclopropenium cation	190	
NADH-type reductions	3-carbamoylpyridimium cation	191	
coenzyme activity	oxidized flavins	192	
inhibition of aniline hydroxylation and P-450 binding	alcohols	193	
QSARs, antitumoractivity	phenylaziridines, aniline mustards, nitrosoureas	195	
P-450 oxidation reactions	CCl_4 and CHCl_3	236	
enzyme specificity	P-450 and P-448 substrates	256, 257	
P-450 spin-state equilibria	alkylbenzenes	258	
QSARs, biological activity	benzoxazoles, resorufins,	259,	
	coumarins, methylene dioxy benzenes, poly- aromatic hydrocarbons, polychlorobiphenyls, and phthalate esters	260	

parameters. Silvi performed molecular force field calculations,130 Wolinski and Sadlej investigated the reliability of MINDO/3 in calculation of dipole moments,¹³¹ Koehler used MNDO and MINDO/3 to calculate secondary isotope effects,132 whereas Jallali-Heravi and Webb estimated carbon and nitrogen screening constants¹³³ and also boron and fluorine chemical shifts.134 Dodziuk found shortcomings in MIND0/3 when applied to conformational analysis of conjugated systems,¹³⁵ though Frenking et al. utilized MIND0/3 in conformational calculations of organophosphorus compounds.¹³⁶ Pandey and Chandra studied isotropic hyperfine coupling constants in radicals by $MINDO/3$,¹³⁷ Engel and co-workers investigated isotope effects,¹³⁸ and Al-Jiburi et al. used MIN-D0/3 in the calculation of force constants and vibrational frequencies and intensities in vibrational spectra of organic molecules.139

In the physicochemical area, MINDO/3 found emet al., whereas Minyaev and Minkin and Pronin et al. investigated nucleophilic substitution reactions using $MINDO/3.143,144$ Chemical studies in the same year included a study by Jefford and co-workers of the bridged **C8Hg** cation,145 Favini et **al.** looked at the molecular structure of strained polycyclic hydrocarbons,'46 Davis and Guidry calculated the electronic structure of nitrobenzene,¹⁴⁷ whereas Faustov and Yufit studied the effect of substituents on the activation energy of chloroalkane dehydrohalogenation.¹⁴⁸ Finally in 1979, biological applications of MIND0/3 were initiated by Klopman et al. who calculated the conformations of $benzo(a)$ pyrene epoxides and related this to their carcinogenicity,¹⁴⁹ Czerminski and co-workers reported $MINDO/3$ calculations on some pyrimidine bases,¹⁵⁰ and Pack and Loew used MIND0/3 and MNDO in studies of the mechanism of N-hydroxylation of amines by cytochrome P-450.¹⁵¹ ployment in transition-state studies^{140,141,142} by Faustov

The literature regarding MINDO/3 in the 80s reflects a continuation of its use in established areas such as reactive intermediates and transition states, calculation of electronic structural properties for a wide variety of compounds, and an increase in its application to biological molecules.

In 1980, MIND0/3 was employed in calculating physicochemical parameters, and in investigating physicochemical systems. Fleischhauer and Asaad determined electron affinities of maleic acid derivatives. 152 Pandey and Chandra studied magnetic susceptibilities of small molecules using MINDO/3,¹⁵³ Maksic et al. compared ab initio methods with MIND0/3 in calculating interatomic distances in hydrocarbons.¹⁵⁴ De-Santo and co-workers utilized MIND0/3 to calculate phosphorus ligand cone angles,¹⁵⁵ Kikuchi et al. predicted the π and σ electronic states of the succinimido radical,156 Boeck et al. used MNDO and MIND0/3 calculations in a study of isotropic exchange equilibria,157 Metzger evaluated polarizabilities using INDO and MINDO/3,¹⁵⁸ and Nakajima et al. estimated radical ionization energies by MIND0/3 as an aid to the interpretation of mass spectra.¹⁵⁹ Lelj et al. investigated the conformation of and hydrogen migration in some tertiary immonium ions by $\text{MINDO}/3,^{160}$ Tapia and Silvi reported a MIND0/3 study of solvent effects on the structure and properties of simple molecules.¹⁶¹ Khalil performed MIND0/3 calculations on some hydrocarbon ions,162 Chiu and Li studied the addition of hydrogen to vinylidine by the same method,¹⁶³ Jennings and Worley applied MNDO and MIND0/3 to trivalent nitrogen inversions,¹⁶⁴ and Andoh et al. showed that MINDO/3 could be used in estimation of nitration rates by the Hammett rule.¹⁶⁵

Chemical studies using MIND0/3 in 1980 included calculations by Glidewell and Bews on first row hydrides,^{166,167} Felker et al. looked at monocyclic structures,168 Frenking and co-workers published MNDO and MIND0/3 work on organophosphorus compounds,^{169,170} Sycheva and Zakharov reported MIN- $\rm{D}O/3$ calculations on naphthalene ions,¹⁷¹ and Buemi et al. studied methoxynitroanilines by MIND0/3 and INDO/S.172 Application of MIND0/3 to reactions and molecules of biological interest was apparent in 1980. Pudzianowski and Loew studied cytochrome P-450 hydrocarbon oxidation mechanisms by looking at hydroxylation and epoxidation pathways for methane and ethylene,¹⁷³ whereas Defina and Andrews used MIN-D0/3 to investigate the electronic structure of amides, ureas, and some heterocycles.¹⁷⁴ These co-workers showed that MIND0/3 could be applied to heteroatomic molecules with a good degree of confidence.

In 1981 the volume of literature regarding MIND0/3 was somewhat reduced, though there was an increase in biological studies. Chemical and physicochemical applications of MINDO/3 continued to be in evidence. Tasaka et al. performed a MIND0/3 study on the fragmentation of the phenyl cation,¹⁷⁵ Pronin and Holer investigated the mechanism of the gas-phase equilibrium between methane and the methyl cation, 176 van Hemelrijk et al. calculated the molecular structures of alkenes and chloroalkanes using MIND0/3,177 Metzger estimated molecular polarizabilities using MINDO/- FP,178 Cuthbertson and Glidewell studied some tetraatomic clusters,179 and Koehler and Knoll calculated activation of entropies of free-radical addition reactions.180 In the same year Mosbo et al. performed $MINDO/3$ calculations on phosphines,¹⁸¹ Chiu and Li

reported a MIND0/3 study of thermal rearrangements on bicyclobutanylidine.¹⁸² Kokorev et al. calculated the electronic structures of organic and organometallic peroxides,¹⁸³ Seeman and co-workers correlated kinetic effects with MINDO/3-derived geometries,¹⁸⁴ Huang et al. studied conjugated eight-membered carbocycles,¹⁸⁵ Ando et al. calculated kinetic isotope effects in the heterolysis of neopentyl alcohol using MINDO/ 3^{186} Pel'menshchiov et al. investigated catalytic surface structures by the same method,¹⁸⁷ Gloriozov and coworkers reported MIND0/3 calculations of the conformations of N_2O_6 ,¹⁸⁸ and Bentley and Wellington published details of the electronic structures of doubly charged benzene and its isomeric dications.¹⁸⁹

 $MINDO/3$ calculations on molecules of biological interest included a study of reduced NAD by Donkersloot and Buck,^{190,191} Teitell reported a study of oxidized flavins,¹⁹² Testa investigated the electronic and structural factors which influence the inhibition of aniline hydroxylation by alcohols and their binding to cytochrome P-450,¹⁹³ Ford and Scribner published details regarding MNDO calculations of nitrenes derived from carcinogenic aromatic amines and amides,¹⁹⁴ and Lewis showed that MIND0/3 could be used to generate QSARs for tumor-inhibitory phenyl aziridines, aniline mustards, and nitrosoureas, DNA bases, and carcinogenic polycyclic hydrocarbons.¹⁹⁵ Here it was shown that MIND0/3 could be modified to include calculations of superdelocalizabilities, molecular electrostatic potential energies, and could be parametrized for the $C-S$ and $S=O$ bonds.

In the next year, the number of MIND0/3 publications was diminished. Chemical applications of the method were pursued by Viers et al. who investigated iodomethylation of alkylpyridines,¹⁹⁶ Kansch and Duerr performed EHT, CNDO/2, and MIND0/3 calculations on **cycloalkenylcarbenes,197** Mak and Li studied some cyclooctatetraenes,198 Mohammed and Hopfinger looked at hydrogen bonding by using CNDO/2 and MINDO/3,¹⁹⁹ and Blyholder et al. applied a version of $MINDO/3$ to explore semiempirical calculations on transition-metal compounds.200

Physical chemical parameters were estimated using MIND0/3 in 1982. McManus et al. evaluated the geometry optimization capability of MINDO/3,²⁰¹ Harris et al. calculated carbocation heats of formation,202 Kuehnel and co-workers reported MINDO/3 calculations of hydrocarbon heats of formation,²⁰³ Shlyapochnikov et al. obtained atomization enthalpies, 204 Eckert-Maksic studied the protonation of phenols,²⁰⁵ Frost et al. compared MINDO/3 with other semiempirical methods in the estimation of ionization potentials of imines,206 and Hoppilliard and Bouchoux calculated barriers to 1,3-sigmatropic shifts in odd electron ions.²⁰⁷ Kikuchi and co-workers carried out a MIND0/3 study involving CI of the mechanism for the photochemical reaction of methylenamine N -oxide to oxaziridine,²⁰⁸ Klumpp et al. investigated some $(CH)_3^{2+}$ structures,²⁰⁹ Bracuti and Carignan calculated the conformation of the triaminoguadinium ion,²¹⁰ Simkin and co-workers studied the course of thermal electrocyclic reactions of chromenes,211 Shigihara et al. looked at the fragmentation of protonated acetates,²¹² and Kokorev et al. calculated the electronic structures of peroxides by using MINDO/ 3.213

There was an increase in MIND0/3 publications in 1983. Physical applications included conformational studies on acyclic tetrasulfides by Askari and Karimian, 214 Huang et al. calculated the potential energy surfaces of the $C_9H_9^+$ ion,²¹⁵ and Illas et al. looked at the chemisorption of hydrogen on graphite216 and at the chemisorption of electrophilic adsorbates on graphite.²¹⁷ The chemisorption of hydrogen on graphite was further investigated by Casanas,²¹⁸ Hanschmann calculated reduced partition function ratios of isotopically substituted molecules,²¹⁹ and Zakharov and Litinskii reported a program for calculating cyclic models of solid and surface structures by using MIND0/3.220

Physicochemical parameters were estimated by MIND0/3 calculations in 1983. Schmidt et al. showed that photoelectron spectra could be compared with MIND0/3 in the study of the mode of reaction of azabicyclic compounds, 221 Tsang and Li looked at the reaction between molecular hydrogen and cyclopentadienylidene,222 Khadzhi-Ogly et al. estimated heats for formation of hydrogen peroxide homologues,²²³ and Andres et al. studied the intramolecular solvolytic mechanism of the Meyer-Schuster reaction.²²⁴

A number of chemical applications of MIND0/3 appeared in 1983. Seeman and co-workers derived molecular geometries and energies of alkylpyridines and their cations,225 Gey et al. studied the reactivity of perfluoroalkenes,²²⁶ Shokhen et al. looked at the reaction mechanism of carbinolamine formation,²²⁷ Budzelaar and colleagues applied MINDO/3 to the reduction of pyridine heterocyclics228 while Dewar and Chantranupong studied some cheletropic and reverse Diels-Alder reactions.²²⁹ Tsang and Li continued their MIND0/3 investigations in published work on hydrogen insertions of cyclopropenylidene and cyclopropylidene,²³⁰ Spanget-Larsen calculated the structure of bicyclo[6.2.0]decapentaene,²³¹ Santiago et al. reported a MIND0/3 study on the monoelectronic reduction of carbon monoxide,²³² and Ribo and Valles looked at the tautomerism of 2-hydroxypyrrole. 233

Biological applications of MINDO/3 and MNDO in 1983 included a MIND0/3 study of dioxetanone, a postulated intermediate involved in chemiluminescence and bioluminescence, by Kasney et al.,²³⁴ whereas Shea et al. used MNDO in the calculation of kinetic isotope effects in model cytochrome P-450 oxidations.235 Their results indicated that P-450 mediated 0-dealkylation and carbon oxidation proceed via hydrogen abstraction by triplet "oxene-like" species, though this does not occur for N-dealkylation. In the same year, Pudzianowski, Loew, and others reported an MO study of model cytochrome P-450 oxidation of CC1, and CH- $Cl₃$ ²³⁶ MNDO calculations showed that triplet oxygen interacts with these halothanes by two mechanisms. In the oxidative metabolism of CCl_4 initial transformation of trichloromethyl hypochlorite occurs, which then loses electrophilic chlorine to form the hypochlorite and then decomposes into phosgene, an observed in vitro product. The metabolism of CHCl₃, however, involves hydroxylation to trichloromethanol which then forms phosgene without loss of electrophilic chlorine.

MIND0/3 investigations continued to be reported in 1984. **A** number of studies involved the estimation of physical and physicochemical parameters. Al-Jibury et al. calculated vibration frequencies and absorption intensities of a number of molecules using the MIN-DO/3-FORCES method,²³⁷ Planelles and co-workers utilized a large protonated alkanes reaction surface model as a test for the accuracy of MIND0/3,238 and Hanschmann reported further work *on* partition function isotopic ratios for hydrogen,²³⁹ carbon,²⁴⁰ and oxygen and nitrogen isotopes.241 Bolotin et al. published an investigation of the catalytic dehydrochlorination of **1,1,2-tri~hloroethane,~~~** Koikov et al. performed electronic structural calculations on arylenamines. 243 and Gineityte and Shatkovskaya used MINDO/3 to describe the inductive effect of heteroatoms.²⁴⁴

Chemical and biochemical applications of MIND0/3 were also carried out in the same year. Lopyrev et al. performed calculations on 2-nitrobenzimidazoles, 245 Ivshin and co-workers elucidated the structures of $N\text{-nitro enamines.}^{246}$ Lee et al. undertook a MINDO/3 study of the hetero-Diels-Alder reaction,²⁴⁷ Koikov et al. also looked at reactions of enamines with dienophiles using MINDO/3,²⁴⁸ Ricart and co-workers executed $MINDO/3$ calculations on periodic systems.²⁴⁹ Cho et al. determined the reactivity of certain molecules involved in sigmatropic hydrogen rearrangements.²⁵⁰ Slanina reported a further study of the Cope rearrangement,251 and Luzhkov and Isichenko applied INDO, MIND0/3, and molecular electrostatic potential-energy calculations to the reactivity of ionol.²⁵² The Koikov group in the Soviet Union also compared MIND0/3 calculations with experimental data for the reaction of α -dimethylaminostyrene with dienophiles.²⁵³ With biochemical oxygen insertions in mind, Minaev and Tikhomirov published work on the mechanism of the reaction between molecular dioxygen and ethylene.254

The literature over the past 10 years shows an evolving interest in MINDO/3 calculations which reflect the particular strengths of the method in its application to molecular systems which would be difficult to study by other MO methods. At the time of writing MIND0/3's use in 1985 cannot be described with completeness. However, Klopman published estimations of partition coefficients for a large number of molecules using MIND0/3 and HMO methods of calculation, with the employment of quantitative structure-property relationships from MO data,²⁵⁵ and Lewis et al. have applied MIND0/3 calculations in order to produce computer-graphic representations of molecular dimensions for a large number of substrates of cytochromes P-450 and \bar{P} -448.^{256,257} Here it has been established that, essentially, there are two groups of substrates which exhibit specificity for the two groups of enzymes. This specificity is determined by the structural requirements of the binding sites of the two types of cytochromes, so that thin relatively planar molecules bind preferentially to and activate cytochromes P-448 which causes the production of toxic metabolites, whereas ellipsoidal molecules bind to and activate cytochromes P-450, which brings about detoxification. **A** number of further papers by Lewis et al. on similar work have been submitted to various journals with expected publication in 1986. These are QSAR studies of cytochromes P-450 substrates, including alkyl benzenes,258 alcohols, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, aliphatic amines, alkoxy resorufins, methylene dioxybenzenes, and coumarins.²⁵⁹ Other MINDO/3-gener-

ated QSAR work has been performed on β -blocking aryloxypropanolamines, polychlorobiphenyls, antiinflammatory benzoxazoles, monosubstituted benzenes, and clofibrate analogues and phthalate esters.²⁶⁰

The literature shows that MIND0/3 has been successfully applied to the calculation of electronic structures of an enormous variety of medium-sized molecules, giving rise to accurate values of many physical and theoretical parameters. The MIND0/3 method of MO calculation readily lends itself to the investigation of chemical reactions, and to problems of biological interest, due to its ease of use, its relative speed and consequently its low cost. It is also adaptable to modification in order to include calculation of molecular electrostatic potentials, superdelocalizabilities, and the structures of second-row elements. Although the Dewar group are currently working on a new and improved version of MIND0/3, I imagine that it will still be extensively used in the future for fast, accurate, calculations of electronic structural parameters.

VI. Appendix

Derivation of Molecular Properties for Molecular Orbital Methods. For molecules it is valid to describe the energy, *E,* of any electronic state in terms of the Hamiltonian operator, *H,* and the wave function, ψ , by $H\psi = E\psi$ (the eigenvalue equation) where $H =$ $T(KE) + V(PE)$. The expectation value (mean value) of *E* is given by

$$
E = \int \frac{\psi^* H \psi \, \mathrm{d}\tau}{\int \psi^* \psi \, \mathrm{d}\tau}
$$

where ψ^* is the complex conjugate of ψ and $d\tau$ indicates that the integration is over **all** space or, simply, by using Dirac's notation

$$
E = \frac{\langle \psi | H | \psi \rangle}{\langle \psi | \psi \rangle}
$$

The total energy of the molecule can be treated as the sum of electronic energy, E_{el} , and internuclear repulsion energy E_{nucle} . The latter is given by

$$
E_{\rm nucl} = \sum_{\mu\nu} \frac{Z_{\mu} Z_{\nu}}{R_{\mu\nu}}
$$

where $Z_{\mu,\nu}$ are charges on nuclei μ,ν of internuclear distance $R_{\mu\nu}$. Electronic energy is the sum of all KE terms, electron-nucleus attractions, interelectronic repulsions, and interelectronic exchange terms. This can be written as

$$
E_{\rm el} = \sum_i H_i + \sum_{i < j} J_{ij} - \sum_{i < j} K_{ij}
$$

where H_i is the one-center core Hamiltonian given by:

$$
H_i = \int \phi_i^* H^c \phi_i \, d\tau_i
$$

$$
H^c = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_i \nabla_i^2 - \sum_{\substack{\mu, i \ \mu_i \\ \mu \in \mathcal{L}}} \frac{Z_\mu}{r_{\mu i}}
$$

KE electron–
nuclear attraction

 ∇^2 is the del² operator =

$$
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}
$$

 J_{ii} is the electron-electron repulsion integral:

$$
J_{ij} = \int \int \phi^*_{1}(i)\phi_1(i)\frac{1}{r_{ij}}\phi^*_{2}(j)\phi_2(j) d\tau_i d\tau_j
$$

 K_{ij} is the interelectron exchange integral:

$$
K_{ij} = \int \int \phi^*_{1}(i) \phi_2(i) \frac{1}{r_{ij}} \phi^*_{1}(j) \phi_2(j) d\tau_i d\tau_j
$$

The total energy may thus be written

$$
E_{\rm tot} = \sum_{i} H_i + \sum_{i < j} (J_{ij} - K_{ij}) + \sum_{\mu < \nu} \frac{Z_{\mu} Z_{\nu}}{R_{\mu\nu}}
$$

Dealing with the H_i term, we first use the LCAO formalism for describing the MOs $\psi_n(i)$ in terms of the basis set of aos $\phi_0(i)$ as follows:

$$
\psi_{\nu}(i) = \big[\sum_{\rho} c_{\nu\rho} \phi_{\rho}(i)\big] \sigma_{\nu}(i)
$$

where $\sigma_{\nu}(i)$ is the spin factor $(\alpha \text{ or } \beta)$. Then

$$
H_i = \int \psi_{\nu}(i) \left(-\nabla_i^2 - \sum_r \frac{Z_r}{r_{ri}} \right) \psi_{\nu}(i) d\tau_i
$$

=
$$
\int_{\rho} c_{\nu\rho} \phi_{\rho}(i)
$$

$$
\left(-\frac{1}{2} \nabla_i^2 - \sum_r \frac{Z_r}{r_{ri}} \right) \sum_{\sigma} c_{\nu\sigma} \phi_{\sigma}(i) d\tau_i \int \sigma_{\nu}^2(i) d\sigma_i
$$

But

 (1)

$$
\int \sigma_{\nu}^2(i) \; \mathrm{d}\sigma_i = 1
$$

and therefore, we get

$$
H_i = \sum_{\rho} \sum_{\sigma} c_{\nu\rho} c_{\nu\sigma} \int \phi_{\rho}(i) \left(-\frac{1}{2} \nabla_i^2 - \sum_{r} \frac{Z_r}{r_{ri}} \right) \phi_{\sigma}(i) d\tau_i
$$

These integrals may now be classified as follows in two types:

$$
H_{\rho\rho} = \int \int \phi_{\rho}(i) \left(-\frac{1}{2} \nabla_i^2 - \frac{Z_r}{r_{ri}} \right) \phi_{\rho}(i) d\tau_i \int \phi_{\rho}(i) \times \left(-\sum_{s \neq r} \frac{Z_s}{r_{si}} \right) \phi_{\rho}(i) d\tau_i
$$

where the second term is the sum of the penetration integrals $P_{\rho s}$ representing the attraction of nuclei s for the charge distribution represented by $\phi_\rho^{\ 2}$ (centered on nucleus *r).* These terms are small and often neglected in semiempirical methods. The first term in $H_{\rho\rho}$ is an atomic integral, which is usually assumed to have the same value as in the free atom.

(2)
$$
H_{\rho\sigma} = \int \phi_{\rho}(i) \left(-\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 - \sum_{r} \frac{Z_r}{r_{ri}} \right) \phi_{\sigma}(i) d\tau_i
$$

If ρ and σ are centered on the same atom, $H_{\rho\sigma} = 0$. Otherwise, $H_{\rho\sigma}$ is the resonance integral and is a measure of covalent bonding.

sure of covalent bonding.

\nTreatment of
$$
J_{ij}
$$
 gives us

\n
$$
J_{\mu\nu} = \int \int \sum_{\rho} C_{\rho\rho} \phi_{\rho}(i) \sum_{\sigma} C_{\nu\sigma} \phi_{\sigma}(j)
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{r_{ij}} \sum_{\tau} C_{\mu\tau}(i) \sum_{\sigma} C_{\mu} \phi_{\nu}(j) d\tau_{i} d\tau_{j} \int \sigma_{\mu}^{2}(i) \sigma_{\nu}^{2}(j) d\sigma
$$

Since the spins integrate to unity, rearrangement gives that

$$
J_{\mu\nu} = \sum_{\rho} \sum_{\tau} \sum_{\nu} C_{\mu\rho} C_{\nu\sigma} C_{\mu\tau} C_{\nu} \int \int \phi_{\rho}(i) \phi_{\sigma}(j) \frac{1}{r_{ij}} \phi_{\tau}(i) \phi_{\nu}(j) d\tau_{i} d\tau_{j}
$$

For 1-center integrals, $\Gamma_{\rho\rho}$, $\rho = \sigma = \tau = \nu$, such that

$$
\Gamma_{\rho\rho} = \int \int \phi_{\rho}^{2}(i) \phi_{\rho}^{2}(j) \frac{1}{r_{ij}} d\tau_i d\tau_j
$$

If $\rho = \tau$ and $\sigma = \nu$, but $\rho \neq \sigma$, we get the coulombic

repulsion integral,
$$
\Gamma_{\rho\sigma}
$$
, where
\n
$$
\Gamma_{\rho\sigma} = \int \int \sigma_{\rho}^{2}(i)\phi_{\sigma}^{2}(j) d\tau_{i} d\tau_{j}
$$

which may involve two centers if ρ and σ are on different atoms, or one center if they are on the same atom. When $\rho = \sigma$, $\tau = \nu$, we get the exchange integral given by:

$$
\int \int \phi_{\rho}(i) \phi_{\sigma}(j) \frac{1}{r_{ij}} \phi_{\rho}(j) \phi_{\sigma}(i) d\tau_i d\tau_j
$$

which may be one- or two-center. **A** shortened form of this utilizes the bra-ket notation of Dirac, i.e.,

$$
\Gamma_{\rho\rho} = \langle \rho \rho | \rho \rho \rangle
$$

$$
\Gamma_{\rho\rho} = \langle \rho \rho | \rho \rho \rangle
$$

Table VI11 summarizes the type of integrals required in a rigorous MO treatment.

VII. References

- **(1) Bingham, R. C.; Dewar, M. J.** *S.;* **Lo, D. H.** *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1975, 97, 1285. INSER 1978. I** *I* **and Appl. Chem. 1974,** 44, 767.
-
-
-
- (3) Dewar, M. J. S. Science 1975, 187, 1037.
(4) Dewar, M. J. S. J. Mol. Struct. 1983, 100, 41.
(5) Roothaan, C. C. J. Rev. Mod. Phys. 1951, 23, 69.
(6) Hall, G. G. Proc. R. Soc. London A. 1951, 205, 541.
-
-
- (7) Quantum Chem. Program Exch. 1975, 11, 309.
(8) Quantum Chem. Program Exch. 1974, 10, 279.
(9) Pople, J. A.; Beveridge, D. L. Approximate Molecular Orbital
Theory; McGraw-Hill: New York, 1970.
-
-
- (10) Goeppert-Mayer, M.; Sklar, A. L. J. Chem. Phys. 1938, 6, 645.
(11) Fock, V. Z. Phys. 1930, 61, 126.
(12) Oleari, L.; Di Sipio, L.; De Michelis, G. Mol. Phys. 1966, 10,
- 97 **(13) Davidon, W. C.** *Comput. J.* **1968,** *10,* **406. (14) Fletcher, R.** *Comput. J.* **1965,8, 33.**
-
-
-
-
- (15) Fletcher, R.; Powell, M. J. D. Comput. J. 1963, 6, 163.

(16) Dewar, M. J. S. Chem. Brit. 1975, 11, 97.

(17) Jorgensen, W. L.; Salem, L. The Organic Chemist's Book of Orbitals; Academic: New York, 1973.

(18) McManu
- (19) McManus, S. P.; Smith, M. R.; Smith, M. B.; Shafer, S. *G.*
- *J. Comput. Chem. 1980, 1, 233.*
(20) Murrell, J. N.; Harget, A. J. Molecular Orbital Theory of *Molecules*; Wiley: New York, 1972.
- (21) Klopman, G.; Evans, R. C. In: *Semi-Empirical Methods of Electronic Structure Calculation*; Segal, G. E., Ed.; Plenum: ... New York, 1971; Part A.
- (22) Fernandez-Alonso, J. I. In: *Quantum Mechanics of Molecu-lar Conformations;* Pullman, B., Ed.; Wiley: New York, 1976.
- (23) Dewar, M. J. S.; Ramsden, C. A. *J. Chem.* **SOC.,** *Chem. Com- mun.* 1973, 688.
- 590. (24) Baird, N. C.; Dewar, M. J. S. *J. Chem. Phys.* 1969,50, 1262. (25) Dewar, M. J. S.; Haselbach, E. *J. Am. Chem.* **SOC.** 1970, 92,
- (26) Dewar, M. J. S.; Li, W. K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1974, 96, 5569.
(27) Case, R. S.: Dewar, M. J. S.: Kirschner, S.: Pettit, R.: Slegeir. Case, R. S.; Dewar, M. J. S.; Kirschner, S.; Pettit, R.; Slegeir,
- W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1974, 96, 7581.
- (28) Dewar, M. J. S.; Kirschner, S.; Kollmar, H. W. *J. Am. Chem.* Soc. 1974,96, 7579. (29) Dewar, M. J. S.; Kirschner, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1974, 96,
- 7.578. (30) Dew&, M. J. S.; Griffin, A. C.; Kirschner, S. *J. Am. Chem.*
- Soc. 1974, 96, 6225. (31) Dewar, M. J. S.: Lo. D. H.: Maksic. Z. B. *Croat. Chem. Acta* 1974, 46, 7.
- (32) Dewar, M. J. S.; Kirschner, S. *J. Am. Chem.* **SOC.** 1974, 96, **m-m.**
- (33) Dewar, M. J. S.; Haddon, R. C.; Suck, S. H. *J. Chem.* SOC., *Chem. Commun.* 1974,611.
- (34) Dewar, M. J. S.; Haddon, R. C.; Student, P. J. *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Chem.'Commun.* 1974. 569. '
- (35) Bingham, R. C.; Dewar, M. J. S.; Lo, D. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1975, 97, 1294.
- (36) Bingham, R. C.; Dewar, M. J. S.; Lo, D. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1975,97, 1302.
- (37) Bingham, R. C.; Dewar, M. J. S.; Lo, D. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1975, 97, 1307.
- (38) Bingham, R. C.; Dewar, M. J. S.; Lo, D. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1975, 97, 1311.
- Dewar, M. J. S.; Kollmar, H. W.; Suck, S. H. *Theor. Chim. Acta* 1975, 36, 237. Bischof, P. K.; Dewar, M. J. S. *J. Am. Chem.* **SOC.** 1975,97,
- 2278.
- (41) Dewar, M. J. S.; Kirschner, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1975, 97, 2931.
- (42) Dewar, M. J. S.; Kollmar, H. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1975, 97, 2933.
- Dewar, M. J. S.; Lo, D. H. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 1975,33, 298.
- Dewar, M. J. S.; Kirschner, S. *J. Chem.* **SOC.,** *Chem. Com- mun.* 1975, 461.
- Dewar, M. J. S.; Thiel, W*. J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1975, 97, 3978.
Dewar, M. J. S.; Kirschner, S. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Com-
mun. 1975, 463.*
-
- Dewar, M. J. S.; Haddon, R. C.; Li, W. K.; Thiel, W.; Weiner, P. K. *J. Am. Chem.* SOC. 1975, 97,4540. Dewar, M. J. S.; Kollmar, H. W.; Suck, S. H. *J. Am. Chem.*
- SOC. 1975, 97, 5590.
- Dewar, M. J. S.; Fonken, G. J.; Kirschner, S.; Minter, D. E.
J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 6750.
Dewar, M. J. S.; Turchi, I. J. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans*.
- *2* 1976. 548. Dewar; M. J. S.; Suck, S. H.; Weiner, P. K.; Bergman, J. G.
- *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 1976, 38, 226. (52)
- Dewar, M. J. S.; Suck, S. H.; Weiner, P. K.; Bergman, J. G.
Chem. Phys. Lett. 1**976**, *38*, 228. (53)
- Dewar, M. J. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1975, 97, 6591.
- Pople,'J. A. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* 1975, 97,'5306. Hehre, W. J. *J. Am. Chem.* **SOC.** 1975,97, 5308.
-
- Graczyk, D. G.; Julian, R. L.; Taylor, J. W.: Worlev. S. D. *J. Am. Chem.* **SOC.** 1975, 97, 7380.-
- Combs, L. L.; Rossie, M. *J. Mol. Struct.* 1976, 32, 1. (58) Figuera, J. M.; Shevlin, P. B.; Worley, S. D. *J. Am. Chem.*
- **SOC.** 1976, 98, 3820. Bischof, P. *J. Am. Chem.* **SOC.** 1976,98,6844. (59)
-
- Andrews, G. D.; Baldwin, J. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1976, 98, 6706. (60)
- (61)
- (62) (63)
- 6706.
Jorgensen, W. L*. J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1976, 98, 6784.
Combs, L. L.; Rossie, M. *Spectrosc. Lett.* 1976, 9, 495.
Come, C.; Dewar, M. J. S.; Landman, D*. J. Am. Chem. Soc.*
1977, 99, 372.
- (64) Dewar, M. J. S.; Landman, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 2446.
- Dewar, M. J. S.; Wade, L. E. *J. Am. Chem.* **SOC.** 1977, 99, 4417. (66)
- Dewar, M. J. S.; Ford, G. P.; McKee, M. L.; Rzepa, H. S.; Wade, L. E. *J. Am. Chem.* **SOC.** 1977,99, 5069. Dewar, M. J. S.; Turchi, I. J. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2 (67)
- 1977, 724.
- (68) Dewar, M. J. S.; Landman, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 4633.
- (69) Dewar, M. J. S.; Landman, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 6179.
- (70) Dewar, M. J. S.; Thiel, W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1977, 99, 2338.
(71) Dewar, M. J. S.; Ford. G. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1977, 99, 8343.
- (71) Dewar, M. J. S.; Ford, *G.* P. *J. Am. Chem.* **SOC.** 1977,99,8343. (72) Dewar, M. J. S.; Rzepa, H. S. *J. Am. Chem.* SOC. 1977, 99,
-
- 7432.

(73) Dewar, M. J. S.; Haddon, R. C.; Komornicki, A.; Rzepa, H.

J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 377.

(74) Dewar, M. J. S.; Landman, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99,

7439.
- (75) Diwh, M. J. S.; Ford, G. P. *J. Am. Chem.* **SOC.** 1977,99,1685.
- (76) Dewar, M. J. S.; Landman, D.; Suck, *S.* H.; Weiner, P. K. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* 1977. 99. 3951.
-
- (77) Dewar, M. J. S.; Rzepa, **H.** S. *J. Mol. Struct.* 1977,40, 145. (78) Dewar, M. J. S.; Ford, *G.* P. *J. Am. Chem.* **SOC.** 1977,99,7822.
- (79) Dewar, M. J. S.; Komornicki, A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1977, 99, **Gl7A**
-
- (82)
- (80) Dewar, M. J. S.; Thiel, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 4899.

(81) Dewar, M. J. S.; Thiel, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 4907.

(82) Caramella, P.; Gandour, R. W.; Hall, J. A.; Deville, C. G.;

Muk, K. N. J. Am. Chem
-
- Parr, W. J. E.; Wasylishen, R. E. *J. Mol. Struct.* 1977, 38, 272.
Jennings, W. B.; Worley, S. D. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1977, 1435.
Pandey, P. K. K.; Chandra, P.; Prasad, P. L.; Singh, S. *Chem.*
-
- *Phys. Lett.* 1977, 49, 353. McManus, S. P.; Worley, S. D. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1977,555. Dits, H.; Nibbering, N. M. M.; Verhoeven, J. W. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 1977, 51, 95. Kyba, E. P. *J. Am. Chem.* **SOC.** 1977,99, 8330.
-
- Boyd, D. B. *Znt. J. Quantum. Chem., Quantum Biol. Symp.* 1977, 4, 161.
- (90) Schulman, J. M. *J. Magn.* Res. 1977, *28,* 137.
- Al-Khowaiter, S. H.; Wellington, C. A. *Tetrahedron* 1977,33, 2843.
- Fleischhauer, J. *2. Nuturforsch. A: Phys., Phys. Chem.*
- *Kosmophys.* 1977, *32A,* 1564. Dewar, M. J. S.; McKee, M. L.; Rzepa, H. S. *J. Am. Chem.* **SOC.** 1978, 100, 3607.
- (94) Dewar, M. J. S.; Doubleday, C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1978, 100, 4935.
- (95) Dewar, M. J. S.; Olivella, S.; Rzepa, H. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1978, 100, 5650.
- Dewar, M. J. S.; Olivella, S. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* 1978, 100,
- 5290.
Klopman, G.; Andreozzi, P.; Hopfinger, A. J.; Kikuchi, O.;
Dewar, M. J. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1978, *100*, 6267. Zielinski, T. J.; Breen, D. L.; Rein, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*
- 1978, 100, 6266.
- Bantle, S.; Ahlrichs, R. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 1978, 53, 148.
-
-
- Furusaki, A.; Matsumo, T. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* 1978, 1, 16.
Lechtken, P*. Chem. Ber.* 1978, 111, 1413.
Hull, L. A. J. *Org. Chem.* 1978, 43, 2780.
Beatty, S. D.; Worley, S. D.; McManus, S. P. J. *Am. Chem.* **SOC.** 1978, 100, 4254.
- Bodor, N.; Pearlman, R. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* 1978,100,4946.
- Krause, D. A,; Day, R. J.; Jorgensen, W. L.; Cooks, R. G. *Znt. J. Mass Spec. Ion Phys.* 1978, 27, 227. Lee, W. K.; Rothstein, S. M. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 1978,57, 211. Lischka, H.; Koehler, H. J. *J. Am. Chem.* **SOC.** 1978, 100,
-
-
- 5297.
Bonicamp, J. M. *Diss. Abstr. Int. B* 1978, 39, 1759, Part II.
Olah, G. A.; Donovan, D. J.; Lin, H. C.; Mayr, H.; Andreozzi,
P.; Klopman, G. *J. Org. Chem.* 1978, 43, 2268.
Kahlil, S. M. *Iraqi J. Sci.* 1978, 19, 67.
-
-
-
-
- Corvers, **A,;** Scheers, P. C. H.; Castenmiller, W. **A.** M.; Buck,
- H. M. *Tetrahedron* 1978.34.457. Trindle, C.; Halevi, E. A. *knt.'J. Quantum Chem., Quantum Biol. Symp.* 1978, *5,* 281.
- (115) Gajewski, J. J.; Conrad, N. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1978, 100, 6268.
- Garvey, J. F.; Hashmall, J. A. *J. Org. Chem.* 1978,43, 2380. Shanshal, M. *2. Naturforsch. A: Phys., Phys. Chem., Kos-*
- mophys. 1978, 33A, 1069.
Bischof, P.; Bohm, M.; Gleiter, R.; Snow, R. A.; Doecke, C.
W.; Paquette, L. A. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2387.
Bischof, P.; Gleiter, R.; Taylor, R. T.; Browne, A. R.; Pa-
guette, L. A. J. Org. Chem.
-
- 50, 183.
- Fleischhauer, J.; Raabe, *G.;* Thiele, H. *2. Naturforsch.* **A:** *Phys., Phys. Chem., Kosmophys.* 1978, 33, 1230.
- Frenking, H.; Goetz, H.; Marschner, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
1978, 100, 5295.
Goetz, H.; Frenking, G.; Marschner, F. *Phosphorus Sulfur*
- 1978, 4, 309. Pandey, P. K. K.; Chandra, P. *Theor. Chim. Acta* 1978,50,

211.

- (125) Zielinski, T. J.; Breen, D. L.; Haydock, K.; Rein, R.; MacEl-roy, R. D. *Int. J. Quantum Chem., Quantum Biol. Symp.* 1978, 5, 355.
- (126) Worley, S. D.; Gerson, S. H.; Bodor, N.; Kaminski, J. J. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 1978, *60,* 104.
- (127) Shinagawa, Y.; Shinagawa, Y. *Int. J. Quantum Chem., Quantum Bid. Symp.* 1978,5, 269.
- (128) Dewar, M. J. S.; Olivella, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1979, *102,* 4958.
- (129) Dewar, M. J. S.; Olivella, S. *J. Chem. SOC. Faraday Trans. 2* 1979. 7.5. 829. *-z* **-I** --- (130) Silvi, B. *J. Chin. Phys-Chim. Biol.* 1979, 76, 21.
-
- (131) Wolinski, K.; Sadlei, A. J. *J. Mol. Struct.* 1979, 53, 287, (132) Koehler, H. J. *Z. Chem.* 1979, 19, 235.
-
-
- (133) Jellali-Heravi. M.: Webb. G. A. *Ore. Map.* Res. 1979.12.174. (134) Jellali-Heravi, M.; Webb; G. A. *J.* sol. *Struct.* 1979,55, 113.
- (135) Dodziuk, H. *J. Mol. Struct.* 1979, 55, 107.
- (136) Frenking, G.; Goetz, H.; Marschner, F. *Phosphorus Sulfur.* 1979, 7,295.
- (137) Pandey, P. K. K.; Chandra, P. *Can. J. Chem.* 1979,57,3126. (138) Engel, G.; Koehler, H. J.; Weiss, C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1979, 2975.
- (139) E-jiburi, A. K.; Jiburi, K. H.; Al-Niami, K. H.; Shanshal, M. *Theor. Chim. Acta* 1979, 53, 327.
- (140) Faustov. V. I.: Yufit. S. S. *Izu. Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Khim.* 1979. 2367.
- (141) Faustov, V. I.; Sheveley, S. A.; Anikin, N. A.; Yufit, S. S. *Izu.*
- *Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim.* 1979, 2800. (142) Faustov, V. I.; Yufit, S. S. *Izu. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim.* 1979, 2797.
- (143) Minyaev, R. M.; Minkin, V. I. *Zh. Strukt. Khim.* 1979, *20,* 842.
- (144) Pronin, A. F.; Kovac, J.; Kada, R.; Kharchenko, V. G. *Khim. Geterotsikl. Soedin.* 1979, 1598.
- (145) Jefford, C. W.; Mareda, J.; Perlberger, J. C.; Burger, U. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* 1979, *101,* 1370.
- (146) Favini, G.; Rubino, C.; Todeschini, R. *J. Mol. Struct.* 1979, *53,* 267.
- (147) Davis, L. P.; Guidry, R. M. *Aust. J. Chem.* 1979, 32, 1369. (148) Faustov, V. I.; Yufit, S. S. *Izu. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim.*
- 1979, 1890. (149) Klopman, G.; Grinberg, H.; Hopfinger, A. J. *J. Theor. Bid.*
- 1979, 79, 355. (150) Czerminski, R.; Lesyng, B.; Pororille, A. *Int. J. Quantum*
- (151) Pack, G. R.; Loew, G. H. *Int. J. Quantum Chem., Quantum Chem.* 1979, *16,* 605.
- (152) Fleischhauer. J. *Z. Naturforsch. A: Phvs.. Phvs. Chem.. Biol. Symp.* 1979, *6,* 381.
- ys., **Pny** *Kosmophys.* '1980, *35A,* 31'2. (153) Pandey, P. K. K.; Chandra, P. *Can. J. Chem.* 1980,58,767.
- (154) Maksic, Z. B.; Kovacevic, K.; Mogus, A. *Theor. Chim. Acta.*
- 1980, 55, 12
- (155) DeSanto, J. T.; Mosbo, J. A.; Storhoff, B. N.; Bock, P. L.; Bloss, R. E. *Inorg. Chem.* 1980, 19, 3086. (156) Kikuchi, 0.; Sato, Y.; Suzuki, K. *Bull. Chem.* Soc. *Jpn.* 1980, 5.7. **2675** *¹*
-
-
- (157) Boeck, G.; Koehler, H. J.f Weiss, C. *2. Chem.* 1980,20, 426. (158) Metzger, R. M. *J. Chem. Phys.* 1980, 74, 3444. (159) Nakajima, Y.; Ogata, M.; Ichikawa, H. *Shitsuryo Bunseki* 1980. 28. 243.
-
- (160) Lelj,'F.; Sindona, G.; Uccella, N. *Ann. Chim.* 1980, 67, 773. (161) Tapia, *0.;* Silvi, B. *J. Phys. Chem.* 1980, *84,* 2646.
-
- (162) Khalil, S. M. *Egypt. J. Chem.* 1980,21, 465. (163) Chiu, S. W.: Li. W. K. *J. Mol. Struct.* 1980, *668* 221.
- (164) Jennings, W. B.; Worley, S. D. *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans.* 2 1980, 1512.
- (165) Andoh, T.; Arai, M.; Fujiwara, K.; Tamura, M.; Yoshida, T. *Kogyo Kayaku no tame no Kagaku Kogaku* 1980, *41,* 13.
- (166) Glidewell, C. *J. Mol. Struct.* 1980, *65,* 231. (167) Bews, J. R.; Glidewell, C. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 1980, 39, 217.
- (168) Felker, P.; Hayes, D. M.; Hull, L. **A.** *Theor. Chim. Acta* 1980, 5, 293.
- (169) Frenking, G.; Marschner, F.; Goetz, H. *Phosphorus Sulfur* 1980, *8,* 343.
- (170) Frenking, G.; Marschner, F.; Goetz, H. *Phosphorus Sulfur* **1980**, 8, 337.

(171) Sycheva, I. M. Zakharov, I. I. *Izv. Sib. Otd. Akad. Nauk*
- (171) Sycheva, 1. M. Zakharov, I. I. *Izu. Sib. Otd. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim. Nauk* 1980, 105.
- (172) Buemi, G.; Raudino, A.; Zuccarello, F. Theochem. 1980, 1, **llJ.**
- (173) Pudzianowski, A. T.; Loew, G. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1980, 102, 5443.
- (174) Defina, J. A.; Andrews, P. R. *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* 1980, *18,* 797.
- (175) Tasaka, M.; Ogata, M.; Ichikawa, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1981, 103, 1885.
-
- (176) Pronin, A. F.; Holer, J. Zh. Fiz. Khim. 1981, 55, 635.
(177) van Hemelrijk, D.; Versichel, W.; van Alsenoy, C.; Geise, H.
J. J. Comp. Chem. 1981, 2, 63.
(178) Metzger, R. M. J. Chem. Phys. 1981, 74, 3458.
-
- (179) Cuthbertson, A. F.; Glidewell, C. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 1981,49,
- 91. (180) Koehler, H. J.; Knoll, H. *J. Prakt. Chem.* 1981, 323, 466. (181) Mosbo, J. A,; Atkins, R. K.; Bock, P. L.; Storhoff, B. N. *Phosphorus Sulfur* 1981, *11,* 11.
-
- (182) Chiu, S. W.; Li, W. K. Croat. Chem. Acta 1981, 54, 183.
(183) Kokorev, V. N.; Vyshinskii, N. N.; Maslennikov, V. P.; Abronin, I. A.; Zhidomirov, G. M.; Aleksandrov, Y. A. Zh.
Strukt. Khim. 1981, 22, 9.
- (184) Seeman, J. I.; Galzerano, R.; Curtis, K.; Shug, J. C.; Viers, J. W. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* 1981,103, 5982. (185) Huang, N. Z.; Mak, T. C. W.; Li, W. K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1981,22, 3765.
-
- (186) Ando, T.; Yamataka, H.; Yabushita, S.; Yamaguchi, K.;
Fueno, T. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1981, 54, 3613.
(187) Pel'menshchikov, A. G.; Mikheikin, I. D.; Zhidomirov, G. M.
Kinet. Katal. 1981, 22, 1427.
-
- (188) Gloriozov, I. P.; Khadzhi-Ogly, M. R.; Yagodovskaya, T. V.; Nekrasov, L. I. *Zh. Rz. Khim.* 1981,55, 3086. (189) Bentley, T. W.; Wellington, C. A. *Org. Mass Spectrom.* 1981,
- *16.* 523. (190) Donkersloot, M. C. A.; Buck, H. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1981,
- 103, 6549. (191) Donkersloot, M. C. **A.;** Buck, H. M. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* 1981,
- 103, 6554.
- (192) Teitell, M. F.; Suck, S. H.; Fox, J. L. *Theor. Chim. Acta* 1981, 60, 127.
- (193) Testa, B. *Chem. Bid. Interact.* 1981, 34, 287.
- (194) Ford, G. P.; Scribner, J. D. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* 1981, 103, 4281. (195) Lewis, D. F. V. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Surrey, 1981.
- (196) Viers, J. W.; Schug, J. C.; Seeman, J. I. J. *Am. Chem. SOC.* 1982. 104. 850
-
- *104.850.* **-2** ---- (197) Kansch, M.; Duerr, H. J. *Chem.* Res. *Synop.* 1982, 2. (198) Mak, T. C.; Li, W. K. *Theochem.* 1982, 6, 281.
- (198) Mak, T. C.; Li, W. K. *Theochem.* 1982, 6, 281.
(199) Mohammed, S. N.; Hopfinger, A. J. *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* 1982, 22, 1189.
- (200) Blyholder, G.; Head, J.; Ruette, F. *Theor. Chim. Acta.* 1982, *60,* 429.
- (201) McManus, S. P.; Smith, M. R.; Shafer, S. G. *J. Comp. Chem.* 1982, 3, 229. (202) Harris, J. M.; Shafer, S. G.; Worley, S. D. *J. Comp. Chem.*
- 1982. 3. 208.
- (203) Kuehnel, W.; Gey, E.; Spangenberg, H. J. *Z. Phys. Chem.* 1982,263, 641.
- (204) Shlyapochnikov, V. A.; Rezchikova, K. I.; Solkan, V. N.; Zakharskinskava, S. V. *Izu. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim.* 1982, 2399.
- *Kosmovhvs.* 1982.37A. 688. (205) Eckert-Maksic, M. 2. *Naturforsch. A: Phys., Phys. Chem.,*
- (206) Frost, D. C.; Lau, W. M.; McDowell, C. A.; Westwood, N. P. C. *Theochem.* 1982, 7, 283.
- (207) Hoppilliard, Y.; Bouchoux, G. Org. Mass. Spectrom. 1982, 17, 534. (208) Kikuchi, *0.;* Morihashi, K.; Suzuki, K. *Bull. Chem.* SOC. *Jpn.*
- 1982.55. 1133.
- (209) Klumpp; G. **W.;** Fleischhauer, J.; Schleker, W. *J. R. Neth. Chem. SOC.* 1982, *101,* 208.
- (210) Bracuti, A. J.; Carignan, P. *J. Ballist.* 1982, *6,* 139.
- (211) Simkin. B. Y.: Makarov. S. P.: Minkin. V. I. *Khim. Geterotsikl. Soedin.* 1982. 1028.
- (212) Shigihara, A.; Ichikawa, M.; Tsuchiya, M. *Shitsuryo Bunseki* 1982, 30, 145-152.
- (213) Kokorev, V. N.; Vyshinskii, N. N.; Maslenikov, V. P.; Abronin, I. A.; Zhidomirov, G. M.: Aleksandrov, Y. A. *Zh. Strukt. Khim.* 1982, 23, 13.
-
- (214) Askari, M.; Karimian, K. *Theochem.* 1983, 9, 347. (215) Huang, H. B. V.; Goscinski, 0.; Jonsaell, G.; Ahlberg, P. *J. Chem.* Soc. *Perkin Trans.* 2 1983, 305. (216) Illas, F.; Sanz, F.; Virgili, J. *Theochem.* 1983, *11,* 79.
-
-
- (217) Illas, F.; Sanz, F.; Virgili, J. *Theochem.* 1983, *11,* 87. (218) Casanas, J.; Illas, F.; Sanz, F.; Virgili, J. *Surf. Sci.* 1983, 133, 29. (219) Hanschmann, G. ZfI-Mitt-75 1983, 176; Chem. Abstr. 1983,
- 99, 01137267.
- (220) Zakharov, I. P.; Litinskii, A. O. Zh. Strukt. Khim. 1983, 24, ... 111.
-
- (221) Schmidt, W.; Ballschmidt, H. J.; Klessinger, M.; Heesing, A.; Herdering, W. Chem. Ber. 1983, 116, 1097.
(222) Tsang, H. T.; Li, W. K. Croat. Chem. Acta 1983, 56, 103.
(223) Khadzhi-Ogly, M. R.; Gloriozov, I. P.; Yag
-
- 48, 2399.
- (226) Gey, E.; Vetter, R.; Ferse, F.; Lunkwitz, K.; Morgenstern, J. *Stud. Biophys.* 1983, 93, 267. (227) Shokhen. M. A.: Tikhomirov. D. A.: Eremeev. A. V. *Tetra-*
- *hedron* 1983, 39, 2975.
- (228) Budzelaar, P. H. M.; De Konig, A. J.; Van Aarssen, B. G. K. J. *Chem. SOC. Perkin Trans.* 2 1983, 989.
- (229) Dewar, M. J. S.; Chantranupong, L. J. *Am. Chem. SOC.* 1983, 105, 7152.
-
-
- (230) Tsang, H. T.; Li, W. K. *Theochem.* 1983,13, 95. (231) Span et-Larsen, J. *Theochem.* 1983, 13, 495. (232) Olivefla, S . ; Pericas, M. A.; Serratosa, F.; Messequer, A. *Theochem.* 1983,14, 91.
-
- (233) Ribo, J. M.; Valles, A. J. *Chem.* Res. *Synop.* 1983, 284. (234) Kasney, M.;f Onder, P. H.; Trindle, C. *Theochem.* 1983,13, 459.
- Shea, J. P.; Nelson, S. D.; Ford, G. P. J. *Am. Chem. SOC.* 1983, *105,* 5451.
- Pudzianowski, A. T.; Loew, G. H.; Mico, B. A.; Branchflower,
-
- R. V.; Pohl, L. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 3434.
Al-Jibury, A. L. K.; Najim, T. S.; Shanshal, M. Chim. Acta
Turc. 1984, 11, 245.
Planelles, J.; Sanchez-Marin, J.; Tomas, F. Theochem. 1984,
17, 65.
-
-
-
- Hanschmann, G. *Isotopenpraxis* 1984, 20, 161.
Hanschmann, G. *Isotopenpraxis* 1984, 20, 165.
Hanschmann, G. *Isotopenpraxis* 1984, 20, 169.
Bolotin, A. B.; Shatkovskaya, D. B.; Gineityte, V. *Chem.*
Phys. Lett. 1984, 107,
- Koikov, L. N.; Terent'ev, P. B.; Gloriozov, I. P.; Torochesh-
- nikov, V. N.; Bundel, Y. G. *Zh. Org. Khim.* 1984,20, 1621. Gineityte, V.; Shatkovskaya, D. B. *Zh. Strukt. Khim.* 1984, 25, 152.
- Lopyrev, V. A.; Larina, L. I.; Vakul'skaya, T. I.; Voronkov, M. G.; Bolotin, V. A. *Theochem.* 1984, *15,* 309.
-
- (246) Ivshin, V. P.; Yashukova, 0. A.; Shlyapochnikov, V. A.; (247) Lee, I.; Han, E. S.; Choi, J. Y. *J. Comput. Chem.* 1984,5,606. Tartakovskii, V. A. *Zh. Org. Khim.* 1984,20, 3.
- (248) Koikov, L. N.; Terent'ev, P. B.; Gloriozov, I. P.; Bundel, Y.
- (249) Ricart, J. M.; Illas, F.; Dovesi, R.; Pisani, C.; Roetti, C. *Chem.* G. *Zh. Org. Khim.* 1984,20,917.
- *Phvs. Lett.* 1984. *108.* 593. (250) Chb, J. **K.f he,** I.; **Oh;** H. K.; Cho, I. H. *Taehan Hwahakhoe Chi* 1984,28, 2170.
- (251) Slanina. Z. *React. Kinet. Catal. Lett.* 1984. 25. 293.
- (252) Luzhkov, V. B.; Isichenko, T. S. *Izu. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim.* 1984, 2036.
- (253) Koikov, L. N.; Terent'ev, P. B.; Gloriozov, I. P.; Bundel, Y. G. *Zh. Org. Khim.* 1984,20, 1629.
- (254) Minaev, B. F.; Tikhomirov, V. A. *Zh. Fiz. Khim.* 1984. *58.* 646.
- (255) Klopman, G.; Namboodiri, K.; Schochet, M. *J. Comput. Chem.* 1985,6,28.
- (256) Lewis, D. F. V.; Ioannides, C. Parke, D. V. *Biochem. Phar-macol.* 1986,35, 2179.
- (257) Parke, D. V.; Ioannides, C.; Iwasaki, K.; Lewis, D. F. V. *Microsomal Enzymes and Toxicity, Microsomes and Drug Oxidations, International Symposium Proceedings, 6th,* 1985, pp 402-413.
- (258) Lewis, D. F. V.; Tamburini, P. P.; Gibson, G. G. *Chem.-Biol. Interact.* 1986,58,289. (259) Lewis, D. F. V., unpublished **results.**
-
- (260) Lewis, D. F. V.; Lake, B. G.; Gray, T. J. B.; Gangolli, S. D. *Arch. Toxicol., Suppl.* 1986, 9, 386.